

# My Collaboration with Narasimhan– A short account

S.Ramanan

**Retd. TIFR, Adjunct Professor CMI, Chennai**

October 2021

**This is a sad occasion for me since I miss one who was my very close friend and collaborator. On the other hand, it is also a happy occasion when I can recall his friendly mentoring when I was a graduate student, and also the manifold interactions I have had with him over the years.**

This is a sad occasion for me since I miss one who was my very close friend and collaborator. On the other hand, it is also a happy occasion when I can recall his friendly mentoring when I was a graduate student, and also the manifold interactions I have had with him over the years.

I became a graduate student over sixty years ago, in August 1957 to be precise, but MSN (as we used to refer to him) left for Paris within a month of my joining, and returned only three years later.

This is a sad occasion for me since I miss one who was my very close friend and collaborator. On the other hand, it is also a happy occasion when I can recall his friendly mentoring when I was a graduate student, and also the manifold interactions I have had with him over the years.

I became a graduate student over sixty years ago, in August 1957 to be precise, but MSN (as we used to refer to him) left for Paris within a month of my joining, and returned only three years later.

Somehow Fate conspired in my favour to have him as my mentor. Our first work together was on

**Universal Connections.**

During his absence, I had learnt the basics of Lie Groups from Bruhat and later, Differential Geometry from Koszul, both of whom visited us for a couple of months.

During his absence, I had learnt the basics of Lie Groups from Bruhat and later, Differential Geometry from Koszul, both of whom visited us for a couple of months.

I had thus some knowledge of differentiable vector bundles and even principal  $G$ -bundles. In particular, I knew that there is a universal bundle from which all  $G$ -bundles can be pulled back.

During his absence, I had learnt the basics of Lie Groups from Bruhat and later, Differential Geometry from Koszul, both of whom visited us for a couple of months.

I had thus some knowledge of differentiable vector bundles and even principal  $G$ -bundles. In particular, I knew that there is a universal bundle from which all  $G$ -bundles can be pulled back.

Soon after MSN returned, he was happy to find that I was familiar with the work of Kodaira and Spencer and organized a seminar on the topic, jointly with me and with a colleague of ours, called Simha with whom he later wrote an interesting paper.

**A few months later, I conveyed to him my conjecture that when  $G$  is the unitary group, the universal bundle, namely the Stiefel bundle over the Grassmannian, had a natural homogeneous connection which could serve as a universal connection.**

A few months later, I conveyed to him my conjecture that when  $G$  is the unitary group, the universal bundle, namely the Stiefel bundle over the Grassmannian, had a natural homogeneous connection which could serve as a universal connection.

I had earlier asked Henri Cartan the same question, when he visited us. He did not even respond and so I was prepared to be told that mine was a silly question.

A few months later, I conveyed to him my conjecture that when  $G$  is the unitary group, the universal bundle, namely the Stiefel bundle over the Grassmannian, had a natural homogeneous connection which could serve as a universal connection.

I had earlier asked Henri Cartan the same question, when he visited us. He did not even respond and so I was prepared to be told that mine was a silly question.

On the contrary, MSN got all excited and we started working on it at once. We managed to prove that it was true within a week or so! We easily generalised it to all compact Lie groups and later to all Lie groups as well!

A few months later, I conveyed to him my conjecture that when  $G$  is the unitary group, the universal bundle, namely the Stiefel bundle over the Grassmannian, had a natural homogeneous connection which could serve as a universal connection.

I had earlier asked Henri Cartan the same question, when he visited us. He did not even respond and so I was prepared to be told that mine was a silly question.

On the contrary, MSN got all excited and we started working on it at once. We managed to prove that it was true within a week or so! We easily generalised it to all compact Lie groups and later to all Lie groups as well!

Since VBAC does not stand for

**V**ector **B**undles **A**nd **C**onnections

I shall not dwell on it any more!

**Our first collaborative work on VBAC started with the question of determining the singular locus of the moduli of VBAC. The simple fact that stable bundles had only scalars as automorphisms proves that the the moduli space is smooth at these points.**

**Our first collaborative work on VBAC started with the question of determining the singular locus of the moduli of VBAC. The simple fact that stable bundles had only scalars as automorphisms proves that the the moduli space is smooth at these points.**

**We tried to check that these are the only smooth points and succeeded only when the genus  $g \geq 3$  and in the case of  $g = 2$ , when the rank of the VBs in question is  $\geq 3$ . When  $g = 2$  and  $n = 2$ , the moduli space  $M^0$  of VBs with trivial determinant is a 3-dimensional normal variety and the non-stable points constitute a surface. So this is not true in that case. We found it intriguing.**

**Our first collaborative work on VBAC started with the question of determining the singular locus of the moduli of VBAC. The simple fact that stable bundles had only scalars as automorphisms proves that the the moduli space is smooth at these points.**

**We tried to check that these are the only smooth points and succeeded only when the genus  $g \geq 3$  and in the case of  $g = 2$ , when the rank of the VBs in question is  $\geq 3$ . When  $g = 2$  and  $n = 2$ , the moduli space  $M^0$  of VBs with trivial determinant is a 3-dimensional normal variety and the non-stable points constitute a surface. So this is not true in that case. We found it intriguing.**

**Soon, we proved that in this special case, the whole variety was smooth!**

I may mention here that at that time our knowledge of algebraic curves - we thought of them mostly as Riemann surfaces – was rudimentary. For example, we guessed and proved for ourselves that all curves of genus 2 are hyper-elliptic and that the hyper-elliptic involution was unique!

I may mention here that at that time our knowledge of algebraic curves - we thought of them mostly as Riemann surfaces – was rudimentary. For example, we guessed and proved for ourselves that all curves of genus 2 are hyper-elliptic and that the hyper-elliptic involution was unique!

But quite soon, we managed to show that the moduli space  $M^0$  of VBs with trivial determinant was actually the 3-dimensional projective space.

I may mention here that at that time our knowledge of algebraic curves - we thought of them mostly as Riemann surfaces – was rudimentary. For example, we guessed and proved for ourselves that all curves of genus 2 are hyper-elliptic and that the hyper-elliptic involution was unique!

But quite soon, we managed to show that the moduli space  $M^0$  of VBs with trivial determinant was actually the 3-dimensional projective space.

We proved this initially by giving some criterion for a 3-dimensional variety to be a projective space, and checking it for the moduli variety. Our colleague C.P. Ramanujam took this point of view seriously and came up with nice characterisations of projective spaces in general.

Since we knew in any case that the moduli space  $M^1$  of VBs with fixed determinant of odd degree was smooth, we wondered if we could determine it as well.

Since we knew in any case that the moduli space  $M^1$  of VBs with fixed determinant of odd degree was smooth, we wondered if we could determine it as well.

This proved quite a challenge. After a few days of thinking, we saw that a stable VB with determinant  $\mathcal{O}(x)$  can be realised as a line in this projective space.

Since we knew in any case that the moduli space  $M^1$  of VBs with fixed determinant of odd degree was smooth, we wondered if we could determine it as well.

This proved quite a challenge. After a few days of thinking, we saw that a stable VB with determinant  $\mathcal{O}(x)$  can be realised as a line in this projective space.

Since the Grassmannian of lines in  $P^3$  is a quadric in  $P^5$ , it was a question of determining the divisor  $M^1$  in this quadric. We were not aware then of the relationship of the pencil of quadrics with the hyper-elliptic curve. It took a long time for us to determine it and when we did, we were delighted to learn that this geometry went back more than a century!

Just as one can associate a line in  $M^0$  to a VB in  $M^1$ , we realised that to every VB of  $M^0$  (at least when it is stable) one could associate a projective line in  $M^1$ . Going back and forth, i.e. starting with a VB in  $M^0$  one can associate this line in  $M^1$  and hence get a quadratic cone back in  $M^0$ .

Just as one can associate a line in  $M^0$  to a VB in  $M^1$ , we realised that to every VB of  $M^0$  (at least when it is stable) one could associate a projective line in  $M^1$ . Going back and forth, i.e. starting with a VB in  $M^0$  one can associate this line in  $M^1$  and hence get a quadratic cone back in  $M^0$ .

Since I had heard of the idea of quadratic complexes, we started looking in projective geometry books for a good understanding of this correspondence. We finally found that there is a particular quadric in this  $P^5$  associated to the curve and we guessed and showed that  $M^1$  was the intersection of the Grassmannian and that quadric.

Just as one can associate a line in  $M^0$  to a VB in  $M^1$ , we realised that to every VB of  $M^0$  (at least when it is stable) one could associate a projective line in  $M^1$ . Going back and forth, i.e. starting with a VB in  $M^0$  one can associate this line in  $M^1$  and hence get a quadratic cone back in  $M^0$ .

Since I had heard of the idea of quadratic complexes, we started looking in projective geometry books for a good understanding of this correspondence. We finally found that there is a particular quadric in this  $P^5$  associated to the curve and we guessed and showed that  $M^1$  was the intersection of the Grassmannian and that quadric.

A great help in this regard was a paper of Klein which had been published in Vol. 2 of *Mathematische Annalen*! Every geometric idea in this paper has a corresponding interpretation in terms of the VB moduli. The exhaustive Library at TIFR was a great help to us indeed!

In writing out the details, we needed the fact (which we could verify) that there is a Poincare bundle for  $M^1$ . Seshadri was at that time visiting Harvard and I wrote to him, among other things, that there is a Poincare bundle in  $M^1$  and that we managed to prove this was true whenever the rank and degree were co-prime.

In writing out the details, we needed the fact (which we could verify) that there is a Poincare bundle for  $M^1$ . Seshadri was at that time visiting Harvard and I wrote to him, among other things, that there is a Poincare bundle in  $M^1$  and that we managed to prove this was true whenever the rank and degree were co-prime.

He wrote back that the existence of a universal bundle *in all cases*, was a consequence of **GEOMETRIC INVARIANT THEORY!**

In writing out the details, we needed the fact (which we could verify) that there is a Poincare bundle for  $M^1$ . Seshadri was at that time visiting Harvard and I wrote to him, among other things, that there is a Poincare bundle in  $M^1$  and that we managed to prove this was true whenever the rank and degree were co-prime.

**He wrote back that the existence of a universal bundle *in all cases*, was a consequence of GEOMETRIC INVARIANT THEORY!**

**Fortunately, we had in the meanwhile proved that there is no Poincare bundle in the degree 0 case in the case of rank 2, genus 2, thanks to our geometric understanding of this special case!**

In writing out the details, we needed the fact (which we could verify) that there is a Poincare bundle for  $M^1$ . Seshadri was at that time visiting Harvard and I wrote to him, among other things, that there is a Poincare bundle in  $M^1$  and that we managed to prove this was true whenever the rank and degree were co-prime.

He wrote back that the existence of a universal bundle *in all cases*, was a consequence of GEOMETRIC INVARIANT THEORY!

Fortunately, we had in the meanwhile proved that there is no Poincare bundle in the degree 0 case in the case of rank 2, genus 2, thanks to our geometric understanding of this special case!

I could later show that the degree and rank being relatively prime is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a universal bundle.

**Our next joint endeavour was to try and study the geometry of moduli varieties, in general, using that of the curve.**

**Our next joint endeavour was to try and study the geometry of moduli varieties, in general, using that of the curve.**

**We wondered if the analogue of Torelli's theorem was valid for these moduli varieties as well. Not only was this true, but the significant difference in this regard is that unlike the Jacobian which of course can be deformed into abelian varieties which are not necessarily Jacobians, the deformations of the moduli varieties of fixed determinant are obtained only from deformations of the curve.**

**Our next joint endeavour was to try and study the geometry of moduli varieties, in general, using that of the curve.**

**We wondered if the analogue of Torelli's theorem was valid for these moduli varieties as well. Not only was this true, but the significant difference in this regard is that unlike the Jacobian which of course can be deformed into abelian varieties which are not necessarily Jacobians, the deformations of the moduli varieties of fixed determinant are obtained only from deformations of the curve.**

**We could also determine the group of automorphisms of the  $M^1$  in terms of that of the curve.**

**We later used the idea of Hilbert schemes to get a desingularisation of the moduli space of VBs with fixed determinant. While this was interesting, the idea of using a correspondence between moduli space for different degrees provided a useful tool for many later computations.**

We later used the idea of Hilbert schemes to get a desingularisation of the moduli space of VBs with fixed determinant. While this was interesting, the idea of using a correspondence between moduli space for different degrees provided a useful tool for many later computations.

As far as explicit determination of the moduli varieties is concerned, we had initially considered in detail only the case of curves of genus 2. Later we began studying the case of genus 3 and in this case, an earlier purely geometric study by Coble was very helpful.

We later used the idea of Hilbert schemes to get a desingularisation of the moduli space of VBs with fixed determinant. While this was interesting, the idea of using a correspondence between moduli space for different degrees provided a useful tool for many later computations.

As far as explicit determination of the moduli varieties is concerned, we had initially considered in detail only the case of curves of genus 2. Later we began studying the case of genus 3 and in this case, an earlier purely geometric study by Coble was very helpful.

The Moduli space in the case of rank 2 and trivial determinant behaves in a different way depending on whether the curve is hyper-elliptic or not. When it is not hyper-elliptic, it is imbedded as a quartic hyper-surface in the projective space of the linear system  $2\Theta$  on the Jacobian. When it is hyper-elliptic, the hyper-elliptic involution acts on  $M^0$  and the quotient is a quadric.

We had shown that the Picard group of these moduli varieties is free of rank 1. There has since been an extensive study of the linear system of the ample generator of this group by Brivio-Verra, Kiem, Popa and others.

**We had shown that the Picard group of these moduli varieties is free of rank 1. There has since been an extensive study of the linear system of the ample generator of this group by Brivio-Verra, Kiem, Popa and others.**

**We later looked at direct images of line bundles on étale coverings of the curve and described them as fixed point varieties under a natural action. Using the fixed point theorems, we could compute some topological invariants of the moduli space.**

We had shown that the Picard group of these moduli varieties is free of rank 1. There has since been an extensive study of the linear system of the ample generator of this group by Brivio-Verra, Kiem, Popa and others.

We later looked at direct images of line bundles on étale coverings of the curve and described them as fixed point varieties under a natural action. Using the fixed point theorems, we could compute some topological invariants of the moduli space.

Our geometric understanding of the Quadratic Complex theory and the explicit determination of the moduli spaces when the genus is 2, gave us a felicitous tool in general.

We had shown that the Picard group of these moduli varieties is free of rank 1. There has since been an extensive study of the linear system of the ample generator of this group by Brivio-Verra, Kiem, Popa and others.

We later looked at direct images of line bundles on étale coverings of the curve and described them as fixed point varieties under a natural action. Using the fixed point theorems, we could compute some topological invariants of the moduli space.

Our geometric understanding of the Quadratic Complex theory and the explicit determination of the moduli spaces when the genus is 2, gave us a felicitous tool in general.

This is the correspondence between Moduli with determinant  $\xi$  and Moduli with determinant  $\xi \otimes \mathcal{O}(x)$ . We called this *Hecke correspondence*.

A general remark I would like to make here is almost all our joint work was done in TIFR. There was one exception, however. I was visiting Harvard and towards the end of my stay there, there was a conference in Maine to which MSN and I had both been invited.

A general remark I would like to make here is almost all our joint work was done in TIFR. There was one exception, however. I was visiting Harvard and towards the end of my stay there, there was a conference in Maine to which MSN and I had both been invited.

I was then familiar with the deep work of Nigel Hitchin who had introduced Moduli of pairs  $(E, \varphi)$  where  $E$  is a VB and  $\varphi$  was a twisted endomorphism. Using these ideas we generalised earlier work of Arnaud Beauville in the rank 2 case, while at the conference.

A general remark I would like to make here is almost all our joint work was done in TIFR. There was one exception, however. I was visiting Harvard and towards the end of my stay there, there was a conference in Maine to which MSN and I had both been invited.

I was then familiar with the deep work of Nigel Hitchin who had introduced Moduli of pairs  $(E, \varphi)$  where  $E$  is a VB and  $\varphi$  was a twisted endomorphism. Using these ideas we generalised earlier work of Arnaud Beauville in the rank 2 case, while at the conference.

On his way back to India, MSN stopped in France and met Arnaud. He found that Beauville had also discovered the same usefulness of passing to a ramified covering and taking direct images in this context.

A general remark I would like to make here is almost all our joint work was done in TIFR. There was one exception, however. I was visiting Harvard and towards the end of my stay there, there was a conference in Maine to which MSN and I had both been invited.

I was then familiar with the deep work of Nigel Hitchin who had introduced Moduli of pairs  $(E, \varphi)$  where  $E$  is a VB and  $\varphi$  was a twisted endomorphism. Using these ideas we generalised earlier work of Arnaud Beauville in the rank 2 case, while at the conference.

On his way back to India, MSN stopped in France and met Arnaud. He found that Beauville had also discovered the same usefulness of passing to a ramified covering and taking direct images in this context.

We decided to write this up jointly rather than publish two articles independently. This paper led to developments in many directions, for example to a study of principal  $G$ -bundle pairs as well, by Donagi and others.

Apart from the theory of VBAC on the contributions to which I have been focussing so far, we also used to discuss other questions from time to time. One of them is our understanding of the Weizenbock formula. This expresses the Laplacian of a Riemannian manifold, in terms of its symbol and other constructions.

Apart from the theory of VBAC on the contributions to which I have been focussing so far, we also used to discuss other questions from time to time. One of them is our understanding of the Weizenbock formula. This expresses the Laplacian of a Riemannian manifold, in terms of its symbol and other constructions.

The significance of this formula is that various theorems regarding cohomology can be derived from this, using the identification of the cohomology space with harmonic forms.

Apart from the theory of VBAC on the contributions to which I have been focussing so far, we also used to discuss other questions from time to time. One of them is our understanding of the Weizenbock formula. This expresses the Laplacian of a Riemannian manifold, in terms of its symbol and other constructions.

The significance of this formula is that various theorems regarding cohomology can be derived from this, using the identification of the cohomology space with harmonic forms.

MSN and I understood this in a general set-up and we could set it up in terms of symbol-lifting property of connections and could explain many vanishing theorems such as Bochner's theorem, and Kodaira's vanishing theorem, etc. I later worked it up in detail and included it in my book, 'Global Calculus'.

**Our collaboration did not stop at research activity by ourselves. We extended this to mentoring our students as well.**

**Our collaboration did not stop at research activity by ourselves. We extended this to mentoring our students as well.**

**My first student – an excellent one indeed - A.Ramanathan received a lot of help in his early work from MSN. He and Shrawan Kumar, a later student of mine, collaborated with MSN and wrote up an excellent paper.**

**Our collaboration did not stop at research activity by ourselves. We extended this to mentoring our students as well.**

**My first student – an excellent one indeed - A.Ramanathan received a lot of help in his early work from MSN. He and Shrawan Kumar, a later student of mine, collaborated with MSN and wrote up an excellent paper.**

**On the other side, I had the opportunity to be of help to a student of his – a brilliant one - Patodi.**

**Finally, I would like to mention our collaboration in Administrative activity. In the early 80's, I was serving as the Dean of the School of Mathematics. At that time there was talk of starting a Mathematics Council, which would be the principal Funding Agency for Mathematics Programmes.**

Finally, I would like to mention our collaboration in Administrative activity. In the early 80's, I was serving as the Dean of the School of Mathematics. At that time there was talk of starting a Mathematics Council, which would be the principal Funding Agency for Mathematics Programmes.

The Department of Atomic Energy which was in charge of Higher Mathematics, decided to constitute the 'National Board for Mathematics'. The Chairman of DAE consulted me and requested me to suggest a name for Chairmanship. I had no doubt that MSN fitted the Bill.

**Finally, I would like to mention our collaboration in Administrative activity. In the early 80's, I was serving as the Dean of the School of Mathematics. At that time there was talk of starting a Mathematics Council, which would be the principal Funding Agency for Mathematics Programmes.**

**The Department of Atomic Energy which was in charge of Higher Mathematics, decided to constitute the 'National Board for Mathematics'. The Chairman of DAE consulted me and requested me to suggest a name for Chairmanship. I had no doubt that MSN fitted the Bill.**

**Accordingly, MSN as chairman, and I, as Secretary of the Board, functioned together in setting it up in the initial years.**

# MSN's generosity

- **MSN has always been generous in his appraisal of the work of others.**
- **Also, he is very punctilious in recognizing and referring to any help he has received.**

## MSN's generosity

- **MSN has always been generous in his appraisal of the work of others.**
- **Also, he is very punctilious in recognizing and referring to any help he has received.**
- **MSN attended a conference held on my 70th birthday, arranged principally by my good friend, Oscar Garcia-Prada.**

# MSN's generosity

- **MSN has always been generous in his appraisal of the work of others.**
- **Also, he is very punctilious in recognizing and referring to any help he has received.**
- **MSN attended a conference held on my 70th birthday, arranged principally by my good friend, Oscar Garcia-Prada.**
- **He wrote an article on our collaboration, which was published as part of the proceedings of that conference.**

# The Work of S. Ramanan

M.S. Narasimhan

**ABSTRACT.** This talk gives an overview of some of the significant work of S. Ramanan in the areas of moduli problems, linear systems on flag varieties and abelian varieties, and differential geometry.

## 1. Introduction

It is with great pleasure that I write this account of the work of S. Ramanan. I have been fortunate enough to have collaborated with him intensely over a long period. I have profited much from his mathematical insights.

His mathematics is characterised by depth and breadth and covers several areas: algebra, differential geometry and algebraic geometry. In algebraic geometry he is a major figure, and his main interests have been:

- moduli of vector bundles on curves,
- homogeneous vector bundles on flag varieties,
- abelian varieties,
- geometric invariant theory,
- linear systems on flag varieties in positive characteristics,
- Green's conjecture on syzygies of canonical curves, and
- Higgs bundles.

His contributions to the study of vector bundles on curves have been particularly extensive and profound.

- **Gratifying – even if over-stated. But in any case, what greater encomium can one wish for?**

- Gratifying – even if over-stated. But in any case, what greater encomium can one wish for?
- I will sorely feel his absence ...