


Counting sheaves from counting curves

Joint with Soheyla Feyzbakhsh


- ▶ “Curve counting and S-duality”, arXiv:2007.03037
- ▶ “Rank r DT theory from rank 0”, arXiv:2103.02915
- ▶ “Rank r DT theory from rank 1”, arXiv:2108.02828



Counting sheaves from counting curves

Joint with Soheyla Feyzbakhsh

- ▶ “Curve counting and S-duality”, arXiv:2007.03037
- ▶ “Rank r DT theory from rank 0”, arXiv:2103.02915
- ▶ “Rank r DT theory from rank 1”, arXiv:2108.02828



Contents

- ▶ Joyce-Song's generalised DT invariants
- ▶ The rough idea: passing from rank r to ranks $\leq r - 1$
- ▶ Example: rank 1 to rank 0
- ▶ Rank r to rank 0
- ▶ Weak stability conditions
- ▶ Wall crossing
- ▶ Rank 0 to rank -1

Preliminaries

Throughout we work on a fixed Calabi-Yau 3-fold X
(smooth complex projective variety with $K_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$)
with a fixed ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ and hyperplane class
 $H := c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(1)) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$

satisfying the Bogomolov-Gieseker conjecture of Bayer-Macri-Toda
(for which, see later) such as a quintic 3-fold (Chunyi Li).

Fix a Chern character $c \in H^{\text{ev}}(X, \mathbb{Q})$
(or a numerical K-theory class $c \in K_{\text{num}}(X) := K(X)/\ker \chi(\cdot, \cdot)$).

Consider (semi)stable bundles, or sheaves, or complexes of sheaves
 E of class c .

Stability

There are many notions of stability for E .

The ones we consider can be written in terms of some central charge $Z(\text{ch}(E)) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Writing $Z(E) = m(E) \exp(2\pi i \theta(E))$ we let the slope of E be $\mu(E) := \tan \theta(E)$ and say E is (semi)stable if and only if

$$\mu(F) (\leq) \mu(E/F) \text{ for all nonzero } F \subsetneq E.$$

Here (\leq) means $<$ for stability and \leq for semistability. (Definition of $F \subset E$ is tricky, but for now can just take subsheaves of sheaves.)

E.g. $Z(E) = \int_X c_1(E) \cdot H^2 + i \text{rank}(E)$ gives $\mu(E) = \frac{\deg(E)}{\text{rank}(E)}$ and *slope stability*.

E.g. $Z(E) = [\int_X \text{ch}(E(n)) \cdot \text{td}_X]_{\leq 2} + i \text{rank}(E)$ for large $n \gg 0$ gives *Gieseker stability*.

DT invariants

Joyce-Song/Kontsevich-Soibelman defined a

generalised DT invariant $J(c) \in \mathbb{Q}$

“counting” Gieseker semistable sheaves E in class c .

When H, c are such that semistable = stable this reduces to “classical” $DT(c) \in \mathbb{Z}$, which we can think of it as $(-1)^{\dim M_c} e(M_c)$.

Behrend showed each point $E \in M_c$ can be assigned a multiplicity $\chi^B(E) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $DT(c)$ is the weighted Euler characteristic

$$e(M_c, \chi^B) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} i e(\{\chi^B = i\}).$$

Invariant under deformations of X .

Changes via a wall-crossing formula when we change the stability condition.

The simplest wall crossing formula

Suppose a bundle F sits in an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0 \quad (*)$$

with A, B stable, and that we can vary the stability condition so that the slopes of A and B cross.

Just below the wall ($\mu(A) < \mu(B)$) F will be stable.

Just above the wall F will be destabilised by $(*)$, but extensions in the opposite direction will become stable.

So on crossing the wall we lose a $\mathbb{P}(\text{Ext}^1(B, A))$ of extensions $(*)$ and gain a $\mathbb{P}(\text{Ext}^1(A, B))$.

So the Euler characteristic changes by $-\text{ext}^1(B, A) + \text{ext}^1(A, B) = -\text{ext}^1(B, A) + \text{ext}^2(B, A) = \chi(B, A)$ by Serre duality. WCF is

$$J_+[F] = J_-[F] + (-1)^{\chi(B,A)-1} \chi(B, A) J[A] J[B].$$

The rough idea

Fix $n \gg 0$ so that $H^{\geq 1}(E(n)) = 0$ for all semistable E of charge c .

Now replace E by the cokernel F of a section $s \in H^0(E(n))$,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-n) \xrightarrow{s} E \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then $\text{rank}(F) = \text{rank}(E) - 1$ and $\text{ch}(F) = c_n := c - e^{-nH}$.

To a **first approximation**, suppose all such E, F are stable for $s \neq 0$.

Then we find all F s come from an (E, s) , so M_{c_n} is a \mathbb{P}^{N-1} -bundle over M_c ($N := \chi(E(n)) = \int_X c \cdot e^{nH} \cdot \text{td}_X$), so

$$J(c_n) = (-1)^{N-1} \cdot N \cdot J(c).$$

Now wall-cross to handle stability and get the correct formula....

An example: rank 1 from rank 0

The rough idea actually works **perfectly** when $\text{rank} = 1$.

Here M_c is a moduli space of ideal sheaves $E = \mathcal{I}_Z$, where $Z \subset X$ is a subscheme of dimension ≤ 1 . (Possibly tensored by a line bundle.)

Then $s \in H^0(\mathcal{I}_Z(n)) \hookrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}(n))$ cuts out divisor $\iota: D \hookrightarrow X$ and

$$F = \text{coker } s = \iota_*(\mathcal{I}_Z)$$

is a torsion sheaf supported on D . (“ $D4$ - $D2$ - $D0$ brane.”)

In this case E, F are **Gieseker stable** and **slope stable** and are the only stable sheaves are of this form,

$$M_{c_n} \longrightarrow M_c \text{ is a } \mathbb{P}^{N-1}\text{-bundle, } N = \chi(c(n)),$$

and $J(c_n) = (-1)^{N-1} \cdot N \cdot J(c)$.

(Eg rank 2 bundles supported on $D \in \left| \frac{n}{2} H \right|$ with $\text{ch} = c_n$ are unstable.)

GW invariants

$$J(c_n) = (-1)^{N-1} \cdot N \cdot J(c).$$

The abelian DT invariants $J(c)$ **count curves** (and points) in X and — by the MNOP conjecture — can be written in terms of the Gromov-Witten invariants of X .

(Maulik-Nekrasov-Okounkov-Pandharipande conjecture now proved for most Calabi-Yau 3-folds by Pandharipande-Pixton.)

The generating series of D4-D2-D0 counts $J(c_n)$ are conjectured by “*S-duality*” to be vector-valued mock **modular forms**.

([MSW97, dBCDMV06, GSY07, DM11, AMP19]; possibly need further wall-crossing to reach attractor stability)

Rank r from rank 0

In higher rank $r \geq 1$ there are corrections to the “*rough idea*”. They mean we can write rank r invariants in terms of rank $r - 1, r - 2, \dots, 0$ invariants. Inductively we get to rank 0.

Theorem (arXiv:2103.02915)

For fixed c of rank ≥ 1 ,

$$J(c) = F(J(\alpha_1), J(\alpha_2), \dots)$$

is a universal polynomial in invariants $J(\alpha_i)$, with all α_i of rank 0 and pure dimension 2.

So to express everything in terms of rank 1 (“*abelian*” theory) what’s left is to express **rank 0 in terms of rank 1**. (See later.)

Weak stability conditions

We use the *weak stability conditions* of Bayer-Macri-Toda.

Pick $b, w \in \mathbb{R}$ with $w > \frac{1}{2}b^2$.

Instead of $\text{Coh}(X) \subset D(X)$ we work in the abelian category

$$\mathcal{A}_b := \{E^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} E^0 : \mu_H^+(\ker d) \leq b, \mu_H^-(\text{coker } d) > b\}.$$

$\mu^+(F)$ is the maximum slope of a subsheaf of F ,

$\mu^-(F)$ is the minimum slope of a quotient sheaf of F .

On this we use the central charge

$$Z(E) = [\text{ch}_1(E).H^2 - b \text{ch}_0(E)H^3] + i[\text{ch}_2(E).H - w \text{ch}_0(E)H^3],$$

i.e. the slope function

$$\nu_{b,w}(E) = \begin{cases} \frac{\text{ch}_2(E).H - w \text{ch}_0(E)H^3}{\text{ch}_1(E).H^2 - b \text{ch}_0(E)H^3} & \text{if } \text{ch}_1(E).H^2 - b \text{ch}_0(E)H^3 \neq 0, \\ +\infty & \text{if } \text{ch}_1(E).H^2 - b \text{ch}_0(E)H^3 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Bogomolov-Gieseker conjecture

We assume the *Bogomolov-Gieseker conjecture* of Bayer-Macri-Toda: a certain upper bound on ch_3 for $\nu_{b,w}$ -semistable objects E . Setting $C_i := \mathrm{ch}_i(E) \cdot H^{3-i}$, it is

$$(C_1^2 - 2C_0C_2)w + (3C_0C_3 - C_1C_2)b + (2C_2^2 - 3C_1C_3) \geq 0,$$


It is a sufficient condition for the existence of *Bridgeland stability conditions* on X , and has now been proved for some Calabi-Yau 3-folds.

For instance Chunyi Li proved it for many (b, w) (enough for our applications) on quintic 3-folds X .


Weak stability conditions II

Plot $\Pi(E) := \left(\frac{\text{ch}_1(E) \cdot H^2}{\text{ch}_0(E) H^3}, \frac{\text{ch}_2(E) \cdot H^2}{\text{ch}_0(E) H^3} \right)$ on the same axes as (b, w) .

Then walls of instability for E become straight lines through $\Pi(E)$ and $\Pi(F)$, where F is a destabilising sub- or quotient- object.



Walls of instability for c_n



Some aspects of the proof

- ▶ The Joyce-Song wall is where the $\nu_{b,w}$ -slopes of F (of charge c_n) and $\mathcal{O}(-n)[1]$ coincide.
- ▶ Rotating the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-n) \xrightarrow{s} E \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0$ in $D(X)$ gives the destabilising exact triangle

$$E \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-n)[1].$$

- ▶ Below the wall F is destabilised by this, above the wall it is stable iff E is $\nu_{b,w}$ -semistable and s does not factor through any semi-destabilising subsheaf.
- ▶ Gives wall-crossing formula

$$J_{b,w_+}(c_n) = J_{b,w_-}(c_n) + (-1)^{N-1} \cdot N \cdot J_{b,w}(c) + \cdots,$$

where $N = \chi(E(n))$. Lower order terms from sections of destabilising subsheaves of E (lower rank, so can induct on rank).

- ▶ Now wall cross **second term down to below the BG wall**, and all other terms **up to large volume chamber**.

Some more aspects of the proof

- ▶ All these further wall crossings involve **only sheaves** – no more complexes of sheaves, nor shifts like $\mathcal{O}(-n)[1]$.
- ▶ These wall crossings spit out destabilising pieces which we also wall-cross up to the large volume chamber. Their wall-crossing also involves only sheaves. (So rank never increases.)
- ▶ At each stage the discriminant $\Delta_H = (\text{ch}_1 \cdot H^2)^2 - 2(\text{ch}_2 \cdot H) \text{ch}_0 H^3$ decreases and cannot drop below 0.
- ▶ So a double induction on rank and Δ_H turns $J_{b,w_+}(c_n) = J_{b,w_-}(c_n) + (-1)^{N-1} \cdot N \cdot J_{b,w}(c) + \dots$ into $J_{b,\infty}(c_n) = 0 + (-1)^{N-1} \cdot N \cdot J_{b,\infty}(c) + \dots$ with \dots of the form $F(J_{b,\infty}(\alpha_i))$, $\text{rank}(\alpha_i) \leq r - 1$
- ▶ A further wall-crossing passes from $J_{b,\infty}$ to J .
- ▶ Thus have written $J(c)$ in terms of J of lower rank sheaves.

Rank 0 to rank -1

Now suppose c has rank 0. We go one step further to rank -1 .

Fix $n \gg 0$ so that $H^{\geq 1}(E(n)) = 0$ for all semistable E of charge c .

For a section $s \in H^0(E(n))$, again replace E by the rank -1 complex of sheaves $F \in D(X)$

$$F := \{\mathcal{O}(-n) \xrightarrow{s} E\}.$$

Since s is neither injective nor surjective F is no longer quasi-isomorphic to a sheaf (unlike when $\text{rank}(E) > 0$).

So we study $\nu_{b,w}$ -semistable rank -1 complexes of charge $\text{ch}(F) = c_n := c - e^{-nH}$. Joyce-Song wall gives relation of $J_{b,w}(c)$ to $J_{b,w}(c_n)$ as before.

Over other walls we show destabilising factors also **rank -1 complexes** and **rank 0 sheaves** with strictly smaller degree $\text{ch}_1.H^2 < c.H^2$ allowing us to set up an induction on this degree (in place of rank used earlier).

Rank -1 to rank 1

The shift by $[1]$ of the *derived dual* of F

$$F^\vee[1] := \{E^\vee \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{O}(n)\}$$

has rank 1 , and after wall crossing becomes a **stable pair**. After a further, older wall-crossing (Bridgeland, Toda) it becomes an **ideal sheaf**, recovering the MNOP (or GW) invariants again.

So the “*rough idea*” in this case gives a universal formula relating rank 0 to rank 1 DT invariants (or D4-D2-D0 counts to curve counts), just as we wanted.